arXiv:1701.06126v3 [math.CO] 2 Feb 2017

On a conjecture of Hefetz and Keevash on Lagrangians of intersecting hypergraphs and Turán numbers

Biao Wu * Yuejian Peng † Pingge Chen ‡

Abstract

Let $S^r(n)$ be the r-graph on n vertices with parts A and B, where the edges consist of all r-tuples with 1 vertex in A and $r-1$ vertices in B, and the sizes of A and B are chosen to maximise the number of edges. Let M_t^r be the r-graph with t pairwise disjoint edges. Given an r-graph F and a positive integer $p \geq |V(F)|$, we define the *extension* of F, denoted by H_p^F as follows: Label the vertices of F as $v_1, \ldots, v_{|V(F)|}$. Add new vertices $v_{|V(F)|+1}, \ldots, v_p$. For each pair of vertices $v_i, v_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq p$ not contained in an edge of F, we add a set B_{ij} of $r-2$ new vertices and the edge $\{v_i, v_j\} \cup B_{ij}$, where the B_{ij} 's are pairwise disjoint over all such pairs $\{i, j\}$. Hefetz and Keevash conjectured that the Turán number of the extension of M_2^r is $\frac{1}{r}n \cdot \binom{\frac{r-1}{r}n}{r-1}$ for $r \geq 4$ and sufficiently large n. Moreover, if n is sufficiently large and G is an $H_{2r}^{M_2^r}$-free r-graph with n vertices and $\frac{1}{r}n \cdot \binom{\frac{r-1}{r}n}{r-1}$ edges, then G is isomorphic to $S^r(n)$. In this paper, we confirm the above conjecture for $r = 4$.

Key Words: Turán number, Hypergraph Lagrangian, Intersecting family

1 Introduction

For a set V and a positive integer r we denote by $V^{(r)}$ the family of all r-subsets of V. An *r-uniform graph* or *r-graph* G consists of a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G) \subseteq V(G)^{(r)}$. We sometimes write the edge set of G as G. Let $|G|$ denote the number of edges of G. An edge $e = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r\}$ will be simply denoted by $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_r$. An r-graph H is a *subgraph* of an r-graph G, denoted by $H \subseteq G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A subgraph G *induced* by $V' \subseteq V$, denoted as $G[V']$, is the r-graph with vertex set V' and edge set $E' = \{e \in E(G) : e \subseteq V'\}$. Let K_t^r denote the *complete r-graph* on t vertices.

Given an r-uniform hypergraph F, an r-uniform hypergraph G is called F-free if it does not contain a copy of F as a subgraph. The *Turán number* of F, denoted by $ex(n, F)$, is the maximum number of edges in an F-free r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices. An averaging argument of Katona, Nemetz and

*College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, P.R. China. Email: wubiao@hnu.edu.cn.

†Corresponding author. Institute of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, P.R. China. Email: ypeng1@hnu.edu.cn Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11671124).

‡College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, P.R. China. Email: chenpingge@hnu.edu.cn.

Simonovits [8] implies the sequence $ex(n,F)/\binom{n}{r}$ decreases. So $\lim_{n\to\infty} ex(n,F)/\binom{n}{r}$ exists. The *Turán density* of F is defined as

$$\pi(F) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{ex(n,F)}{\binom{n}{r}}.$$

For 2-graphs, Erdős-Stone-Simonovits determined the Turán densities of all graphs except bipartite graphs. Very few results are known for hypergraphs and a survey on this topic can be found in Keevash's survey paper [9]. Lagrangian has been a useful tool in estimating the Turán density of a hypergraph.

Definition 1.1 *For an r-graph G with the vertex set $[n]$, edge set $E(G)$ and a weighting $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the Lagrangian function of G as*

$$\lambda(G, \vec{x}) = \sum_{e\in E(G)} \prod_{i\in e} x_i.$$

The *Lagrangian* of G, denoted by $\lambda(G)$, is defined as

$$\lambda(G) = \max\{\lambda(G, \vec{x}) : \vec{x} \in \Delta\},$$

where

$$\Delta = \{\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for every } i \in [n]\}.$$

The value x_i is called the *weight* of the vertex i and a weighting $\vec{x} \in \Delta$ is called a *feasible weighting*. A weighting $\vec{y} \in \Delta$ is called an *optimum weighting* for G if $\lambda(G, \vec{y}) = \lambda(G)$.

Fact 1.2 *If $G' \subseteq G$ then $\lambda(G') \leq \lambda(G)$.*

Given an r-graph F, the *Lagrangian density* $\pi_\lambda(F)$ of F is defined as

$$\pi_\lambda(F) = \sup\{r!\lambda(G) : G \textit{ is an } F\textit{-free } r\textit{-graph}\}.$$

Usually, the difficulty part of obtaining Turán density is to get a good upper bound. The following remark says that the Turán density of an r-graph is no more than its Lagrangian density.

Remark 1.3 (see Remark 1.2 in [7]) $\pi(F) \leq \pi_\lambda(F)$.

The Lagrangian method for hypergraph Turán problems were developed independently by Sidorenko [19] and Frankl-Füredi [4], generalizing work of Motzkin-Straus [10] and Zykov [22]. More recent developments of the method were obtained by Pikhurko [18] and Norin and Yepremyan [13]. More recent results based on these developments will be introduced later.

Let $r \geq 3$, F be an r-graph and $p \geq |V(F)|$. Let $\mathcal{K}_p^F$ denote the family of r-graphs H that contains a set C of p vertices, called the *core*, such that the subgraph of H induced by C contains a copy of F and such that every pair of vertices in C is *covered* in H (A pair of vertices i, j of H is *covered* if there exists an edge of H containing both i, j.). Let H_p^F be a member of $\mathcal{K}_p^F$ obtained as follows. Label the vertices of F as $v_1, \ldots, v_{|V(F)|}$. Add new vertices $v_{|V(F)|+1}, \ldots, v_p$. Let $C = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$. For each pair of vertices $v_i, v_j \in C$ not covered in F, we add a set B_{ij} of $r-2$ new vertices and the edge $\{v_i, v_j\} \cup B_{ij}$, where the B_{ij}'s are pairwise disjoint over all such pairs $\{i, j\}$. We call H_p^F the *extension* of F.

Frankl and Füredi [4] conjectured that for all $r \geq 4$, if $n \geq n_0(r)$ is sufficiently large then $ex(n, \mathcal{K}_{r+1}^L) = ex(n, H_{r+1}^L)$, where L is the graph on $r+1$ vertices consisting of two edges sharing $r-1$ vertices.

Let $T_r(n,l)$ denote the balanced complete l-partite r-graph on n vertices. Pikhurko [17] proved the conjecture for $r=4$, showing that $ex(n,\mathcal{K}_5^L)=ex(n,H_5^L)=e(T_4(n,4))$, with the $T_4(n,4)$ being the unique extremal graph. Recently, Norin and Yepremyan [13] proved the conjecture for $r=5$ and $r=6$, moreover, extremal graphs are blowups of the unique $(11,5,4)$ and $(12,6,5)$ Steiner systems for $r=5$ and $r=6$, respectively. For all n,p,r, Mubayi [11] and Pikhurko [17] showed that $ex(n,\mathcal{K}_p^F)=ex(n,H_p^F)=e(T_r(n,p-1))$ with the unique extremal graph being $T_r(n,p-1)$, where F is the r-uniform empty graph. Mubayi and Pikhurko [12] showed that for all $r\geq 3$ and all sufficiently large n, $ex(n,H_{r+1}^f)=e(T_r(n,r))$, where f is a single r-set. Moreover, $T_r(n,r)$ is the unique extremal graph. Brandt-Irwin-Jiang [2] and independently Norin and Yepremyan [14] showed that for a large family of r-graphs F and sufficiently large n, $ex(n,H_p^F)=e(T_r(n,p-1))$ with the unique extremal graph being $T_r(n,p-1)$.

Let M_t^r be the r-graph with t pairwise disjoint edges, called *r-uniform t-matching*. Hefetz and Keevash in [6] determined the Lagrangian density of M_2^3, and showed $ex(n,H_6^{M_2^3})=e(T_3(n,5))$ for large n and $T_3(n,5)$ is the unique extremal graph. More generally, Jiang-Peng-Wu in [7] determined the Lagrangian density of M_t^3 and showed that $ex(n,H_{3t}^{M_t^3})=e(T_3(n,3t-1))$ for large n and $T_3(n,3t-1)$ is the unique extremal graph.

Let $S^r(n)$ be the r-graph on $[n]$ with parts A and B, where the edges consist of all r-tuples with 1 vertex in A and $r-1$ vertices in B, and the sizes of A and B are chosen to maximise the number of edges (so $|A|\approx n/r$). Write $s^r(n)=|S^r(n)|$. When $r=4$, then $|A|=\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor$ for $n\equiv 0$ or 1 or $2 \pmod 4$ and $|A|=\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor$ or $\lceil\frac{n}{4}\rceil$ for $n\equiv 3 \pmod 4$. In [6], Hefetz and Keevash proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.4 (D. Hefetz and P. Keevash, [6]) *$ex(n,H_{2r}^{M_2^r})=\frac{1}{r}n\cdot\binom{\frac{r-1}{r}n}{r-1}$ for $r\geq 4$ and sufficiently large n. Moreover, if n is sufficiently large and G is an $H_{2r}^{M_2^r}$-free r-graph on $[n]$ with $\frac{1}{r}n\cdot\binom{\frac{r-1}{r}n}{r-1}$ edges, then $G\cong S^r(n)$.*

In this paper, we confirm the above conjecture for $r=4$.

Theorem 1.5 *$ex(n,H_8^{M_2^4})=\lfloor\frac{1}{4}n\rfloor\cdot\binom{\lceil\frac{3}{4}n\rceil}{3}$ for sufficiently large n. Moreover, if n is sufficiently large and G is an $H_8^{M_2^4}$-free 4-graph on $[n]$ with $\lfloor\frac{1}{4}n\rfloor\cdot\binom{\lceil\frac{3}{4}n\rceil}{3}$ edges, then $G\cong S^4(n)$.*

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the 4-graph on vertex set $[n]$ with all edges containing a fixed vertex, we call it a *star*. $\mathcal{S}_n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$ while n goes to infinity. We apply the Lagrangian method in the proof and show the following result that the maximum Lagrangian among all M_2^4-free 4-graphs is uniquely achieved by $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 1.6 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an M_2^4-free 4-graph on $[n]$. If $\mathcal{F}\nsubseteq\mathcal{S}_n$ then $\lambda(\mathcal{F})<0.0169<\frac{9}{512}$. Otherwise, $\lambda(\mathcal{F})\leq\frac{9(n-2)(n-3)}{512(n-1)^2}$.*

Corollary 1.7 $\pi_\lambda(M_2^4)=4!\lambda(\mathcal{S})=\frac{27}{64}$.

2 Preliminaries

Given an r-graph G and a set T of vertices, the *link* of T in G, denoted by $L_G(T)$, is the hypergraph with edge set $\{e\in\binom{V(G)}{r-|T|}:e\cup T\in E(G)\}$. If $T=\{i\}$, we write $L_G(\{i\})$ as $L_G(i)$ for short. Let $i,j\in V(G)$, denote

$$L_G(i\setminus j)=\{e\in\binom{V(G)}{r-1}:j\notin e,e\cup\{i\}\in E(G)\text{ and }e\cup\{j\}\notin E(G)\}.$$

We sometimes drop the subscript G. If $L(i \setminus j) = L(j \setminus i)$ and $\{i, j\}$ is not contained in any edge of G, then we say that i and j are *equivalent* and write $i \sim j$. We say G on vertex set $[n]$ is *left-compressed* if for every i, j, $1 \le i < j \le n$, $L_G(j \setminus i) = \emptyset$. Given $i, j \in V(G)$, define

$$\pi_{ij}(G) = (E(G) \setminus \{\{j\} \cup F : F \in L_G(j \setminus i)\}) \bigcup \{\{i\} \cup F : F \in L_G(j \setminus i)\}.$$

By the definition of $\pi_{ij}(G)$, it's easy to see the following fact.

Fact 2.1 *Let G be an r-graph on vertex set $[n]$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a feasible weighing of G. If $x_i \ge x_j$, then $\lambda(\pi_{ij}(G), \vec{x}) \ge \lambda(G, \vec{x})$.*

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof.

Lemma 2.2 (see e.g. [3]) *Let G be an M_t^r-free r-graph on vertex set $[n]$. Then for every pair i, j with $1 \le i \ne j \le n$, $\pi_{ij}(G)$ is M_t^r-free.*

An r-graph G is *dense* if and only if every proper subgraph G' of G satisfies $\lambda(G') < \lambda(G)$. This is equivalent to that all optimum weightings of G are in the interior of Δ, which means no coordinate in an optimum weighting is zero.

Algorithem 2.3 (Dense and compressed [7])
Input: *An M_t^r-free r-graph G on $[n]$.*
Output: *A dense and left-compressed M_t^r-free r-graph with non-decreasing Lagrangian and an optimum weighting $\vec{x}$ satisfying $x_i \ge x_j$ if $i \le j$.*
Step 1. *If G is not dense, then replace G by a dense subgraph with the same Lagrangian. Otherwise, go to Step 2.*
Step 2. *Let $\vec{x}$ be an optimum weighting of G. If G is left-compressed, then terminate. Otherwise, relabel the vertices of G such that $x_i \ge x_j$ for all $i < j$ if necessary. So there exist vertices i, j such that $x_i \ge x_j$ and $L_G(j \setminus i) \ne \emptyset$, then replace G by $\pi_{ij}(G)$ and go to step 1.*

Note that the algorithm terminates after finite many steps since Step 1 reduces the number of vertices by at least 1 each time and Step 2 reduces the parameter $s(G) = \sum_{e \in G} \sum_{i \in e} i$ by at least 1 each time. Applying Fact 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the fact that Algorithms 2.3 terminate after finite many steps, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *Let G be an M_t^r-free r-graph. Then there exists an M_t^r-free dense and left-compressed r-graph G' with $|V(G')| \le |V(G)|$ such that $\lambda(G') \ge \lambda(G)$.* ■

We need the following result to estimate the Lagrangians of some hypergraphs.

Theorem 2.5 ([16]) *Let m and l be positive integers satisfying $\binom{l-1}{3} \le m \le \binom{l-1}{3} + \binom{l-2}{2}$. Let G be a 3-graph with m edges and G contains a clique of order $l-1$. Then $\lambda(G) = \lambda([l-1]^{(3)})$.*

Let G be an r-graph on $[n]$ and $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a weighing of G. Fix $i \in [n]$, denote

$$L_G(x_i) = \frac{\partial \lambda(G, \vec{x})}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i \in e \in E(G)} \prod_{j \in e \setminus \{i\}} x_j.$$

Fact 2.6 ([5]) *Let G be an r-graph on $[n]$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighing for G with $k \leq n$ nonzero weights $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k$. Then, for every $\{i, j\} \in [k]^{(2)}$,*
(1) $L_G(x_i) = r\lambda(G)$;
(2) *The pair i and j is covered.*

Fact 2.7 ([5]) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a dense r-graph. Then every pair of vertices $i, j \in V$ is covered.*

Lemma 2.8 ([6]) *Let G be an r-graph on vertex set $[n]$. If the pair $\{i, j\} \subseteq [n]$ is not covered, then $\lambda(G) = \max\{\lambda(G \setminus \{i\}), \lambda(G \setminus \{j\})\}$. Further more, if $L_G(i) \subseteq L_G(j)$ then $\lambda(G) = \lambda(G \setminus \{i\})$.*

Given disjoint sets of vertices $V_1, \ldots, V_s$, denote $\Pi_{i=1}^{s} V_i = V_1 \times V_2 \times \ldots \times V_s = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s) : \forall i = 1, \ldots, s, x_i \in V_i\}$. We will also use $\Pi_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ to denote the set of the corresponding unordered s-sets. Let F be a hypergraph on $[m]$, a *blowup* of F is a hypergraph G whose vertex set can be partitioned into $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ such that $E(G) = \bigcup_{e \in F} \prod_{i \in e} V_i$.

Corollary 2.9 ([5]) *Given an r-graph F. Let G be a blowup of F, then $\lambda(G) = \lambda(F)$.*

Lemma 2.10 ([6]) *Let G be an r-graph on vertex set $[n]$. If $L(i \setminus j) = L(j \setminus i)$, then there is an optimum weighting $\vec{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ such that $x_i = x_j$.*

Lemma 2.11 *Let G be a 3-graph obtained by removing two edges intersecting at two vertices from K_5^3. Then $\lambda(G) \leq 0.0673 < \frac{9}{128}$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, suppose that $G = K_5^3 \setminus \{245, 345\}$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_5)$ be an optimum weighting of G. By Lemma 2.10, we can assume that $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = x_3 = \frac{b}{2}$ and $x_4 = x_5 = \frac{c}{2}$. So $a + b + c = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(G, \vec{x}) &= \frac{ab^2}{4} + abc + \frac{ac^2}{4} + \frac{b^2c}{4} \\
&= \frac{a}{4}(b+c)^2 + \frac{1}{2}abc + \frac{b^2c}{4} \\
&= \frac{a}{4}(1-a)^2 + \frac{bc}{4}(2a+b) \\
&= \frac{a}{4}(1-a)^2 + \frac{2.2b \times 3.2c \times (2a+b)}{4 \times 2.2 \times 3.2} \\
&\leq \frac{a}{4}(1-a)^2 + \frac{1}{4 \times 2.2 \times 3.2}\left(\frac{2a + 3.2b + 3.2c}{3}\right)^3 \\
&= \frac{a}{4}(1-a)^2 + \frac{1}{4 \times 2.2 \times 3.2 \times 27}(3.2 - 1.2a)^3 \\
&= \frac{2943a^3 - 5724a^2 + 2394a + 512}{4 \times 27 \times 110}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $g(a) = 2943a^3 - 5724a^2 + 2394a + 512$. Then $g'(a) = 0$ implies $a = \frac{212 - 5\sqrt{638}}{327}$. So $\lambda(G, \vec{x}) \leq \frac{g(\frac{212-5\sqrt{638}}{327})}{4 \times 27 \times 110} < 0.0673$. ■

3 Lagrangians of intersecting 4-graphs

We first calculate $\lambda(\mathcal{S})$.

Lemma 3.1 *$\lambda(\mathcal{S}_n) = \frac{9(n-2)(n-3)}{512(n-1)^2}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{9}{512}$.*

Proof: Note that $\mathcal{S}_n = \{1ijk : 2 \leq i < j < k \leq n\}$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a feasible weighting of $\mathcal{S}_n$, then

$$\lambda(\mathcal{S}_n, \vec{x}) = x_1 \sum_{2 \leq i < j < k \leq n} x_i x_j x_k \leq x_1 \binom{n-1}{3} \left(\frac{1-x_1}{n-1}\right)^3 \leq \frac{9(n-2)(n-3)}{512(n-1)^2},$$

equality holds if and only if $x_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = \frac{3}{4(n-1)}$. So $\lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \lambda(\mathcal{S}_n, \vec{x}) = \frac{9}{512}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an M_2^4-free 4-graph on $[n]$. By Lemma 2.4, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is left-compressed and dense. If $n \leq 7$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq K_7^4$. Therefore $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \leq \lambda(K_7^4) = \frac{5}{343} < 0.0169$. Now assume that $n \geq 8$. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}$, satisfying $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_n > 0$. The proof classifies such 4-graphs into several cases and verifies the required bound in each case.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is left-compressed and dense, then every pair i, j with $3 \leq i < j \leq n$ satisfies $12ij \in \mathcal{F}$. We claim that $\{1, 2\}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e., every edge of $\mathcal{F}$ contains 1 or 2), otherwise $3456 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\{3456, 1278\}$ forms a copy of M_2^4 in $\mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Furthermore, we have $2468 \notin \mathcal{F}$, otherwise $\{2468, 1357\}$ forms a copy of M_2^4 in $\mathcal{F}$, a contradiction.

Case 1. $2567 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is M_2^4-free, we have $1348 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 = \{12ij : 3 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{ijkl : i \in [2], 3 \leq j < k < l \leq 7\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *$\lambda(\mathcal{F}_1) \leq \frac{1}{108} < 0.0169$.*

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_1$. Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_1}(8) = \{12i : 3 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 8\}$. By Fact 2.6 (1), we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_1) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_1}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_1}(8)) = \frac{1}{108}$. ■

Case 2. $2567 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2467 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1358 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 = \{12ij, 134k, 13lm, 14lm, 1567, 234k, 23lm, 24lm : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 5 \leq l < m \leq 7\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 *$\lambda(\mathcal{F}_2) \leq \frac{1}{64} < 0.0169$.*

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_2$. Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8) = \{12i, 134, 234 : 3 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{4, 5\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8)$, we can assume that $L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8)$ is on $[4]$ by Lemma 2.8. Moreover, $L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8)[[4]] = \{123, 124, 134, 234\}$. By Fact 2.6 (1), we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_2) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_2}(8)) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{64}$. ■

Case 3. $2467 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2368 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1457 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3 = \{12i_1j_1, 13i_2j_2, 1456, 23i_3j_3, 2456 : 3 \leq i_1 < j_1 \leq n, 4 \leq i_2 < j_2 \leq n, 4 \leq i_3 < j_3 \leq n, \},$$

Lemma 3.4 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_3) \leq \frac{1}{64} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_3$. Note that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_3}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 23k : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j, k \leq n, i, j, k \neq 8\}.$$

Since the pair of vertices $\{4, 5\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_3}(8)$. By Fact 2.6 (1) and Lemma 2.8, we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_3) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_3}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_3}(8)) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{64}$. ■

Case 4. $2467, 2368 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2458 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1367 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_4 = \{12ij, 134k, 135l, 145l, 234k, 235l, 245l : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 6 \leq l \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.5 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_4) \leq \frac{4}{243} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_4$. By Lemma 2.10, we can assume that $x_1 = x_2 = a$, $x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = b$ and $x_6 + \cdots + x_n = c$. So $2a + 3b + c = 1$. Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_4}(1) = \{2ij, 34k, 35l, 45l : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 6 \leq l \leq n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_4}(1), \vec{x}) &\leq a\frac{(1-2a)^2}{2} + b^3 + 3b^2c \\
&= \frac{a(1-2a)^2}{2} + b^2(b+3c) \\
&= \frac{a(1-2a)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{16} \times 4b \times 4b \times (b+3c) \\
&\leq \frac{a(1-2a)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{16}(3b+c)^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $3b + c = 1 - 2a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_4}(1), \vec{x}) &\leq \frac{a(1-2a)^2}{2} + \frac{(1-2a)^3}{16} \\
&= \frac{(1-2a)^2}{16}(1+6a) \\
&= \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{(1-2a)^2}{16}(\frac{2}{3} + 4a) \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{1}{16} \times (\frac{8}{9})^3 \\
&= \frac{16}{243}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_4) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_4}(1), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{4}{243}$. ■

Case 5. $2467, 2368, 2458 \notin \mathcal{F}$, $2367 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1458 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_5 = \{&12ij, 13kl, 1456, 1457, 1567, 2345, 234m, 235m, 2367, 2456, 2457 : \\
&3 \leq i < j \leq n, 4 \leq k < l \leq n, 6 \leq m \leq n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_5) \leq \frac{1}{64} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_5$. Note that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_5}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 234, 235 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, i, j \neq 8\}.$$

By Fact 2.6 (1) and Lemma 2.8, we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_5) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_5}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_5}(8)) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{64}$. ■

Case 6. $2458, 2367 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2457 \in \mathcal{F}$.
In this case, we have $1368 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_6 = \{12ij, 134k, 135l, 1367, 145l, 1467, 1567, 234k, 235l, 2456, 2457 : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 6 \leq l \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.7 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_6) < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_6$. Note that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_6}(8) = \{12i, 134, 135, 145, 234, 235 : 3 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 8\}.$$

Since the pair of vertices $\{5, 6\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_6}(8)$, we have

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}_6) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_6}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_6}(8)) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(K_5^{(3)} \backslash \{245, 345\}) < 0.0169,$$

according to Fact 2.6 (1), Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.11. ■

Case 7. $2457, 2367 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2358 \in \mathcal{F}$.
In this case, we have $1467 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_7 = \{12ij, 13kl, 145m, 2345, 234m, 235m, 2456 : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 4 \leq k < l \leq n, 6 \leq m \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.8 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_7) < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_7$. Note that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_7}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 145, 234, 235 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, i, j \neq 8\}.$$

Since the pair of vertices $\{5, 6\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_7}(8)$, we have

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}_7) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_7}(8), \vec{x}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_7}(8)) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(K_5^{(3)} \backslash \{245, 345\}) < 0.0169,$$

according to Fact 2.6 (1), Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.11. ■

Case 8. $2457, 2367, 2358 \notin \mathcal{F}$, and $2357 \in \mathcal{F}$.
In this case, we have $1468 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_8 = \{12ij, 13kl, 145m, 1467, 234m, 2345, 2356, 2357, 2456 : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 4 \leq k < l \leq n, 6 \leq m \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.9 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_8) \leq \frac{1}{64} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 145, 234 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, i, j \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{5, 6\}$ is not covered by any edge in $L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8)$, we can assume that $L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8)$ is on [5] by Lemma 2.8. Moreover, $L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8)[[5]] = \{123, 124, 125, 134, 135, 145, 234\}$, hence we have $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8)) = \lambda(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{16}$ by Theorem 2.5. So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_8) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_8}(8)) = \frac{1}{64}$. ■

Case 9. $2357 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2348 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1567 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_9 = \{12ij, 13kl, 14st, 234p, 2356, 2456 : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 4 \leq k < l \leq n, 5 \leq s < t \leq n, 5 \leq p \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.10 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_9) \leq \frac{1}{64} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 14k, 234 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, i, j, k \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{5, 6\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8)$, we can assume that $L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8)$ is on [5] by Lemma 2.8. Moreover, $L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8)[[5]] = \{123, 124, 125, 134, 135, 145, 234\}$, hence we have $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8)) = \lambda(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{16}$ by Theorem 2.5. So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_9) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_9}(8)) = \frac{1}{64}$. ■

Case 10. $2357, 2348 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2456 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1378 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{10} = \{12ij, 134k, 135l, 136m, 145l, 146m, 156m, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2356, 2456 : 3 \leq i < j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 6 \leq l \leq n, 7 \leq m \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.11 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{10}) \leq \frac{2}{135} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_{10}$. Note that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_{10}}(8) = \{12i, 134, 135, 136, 145, 146, 156 : 3 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 8\}.$$

Since the pair of vertices $\{6, 7\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{10}}(8)$, then by Lemma 2.8, $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{10}}(8)) = \lambda(\{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 6\})$. Let $G = \{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 6\}$ and $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_6)$ be an optimum weighting of G. By Lemma 2.10, we can assume that $x_1 = x, x_2 = \cdots = x_6 = \frac{1-x}{5}$. So

$$\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{10}}(8)) = \lambda(G) = x\binom{5}{2}\left(\frac{1-x}{5}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{5} \cdot 2x \cdot (1-x)^2 \leq \frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 = \frac{8}{135}. \quad (1)$$

So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{10}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{10}}(8)) \leq \frac{2}{135}$. ■

Case 11. $2357, 2348, 2456 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2356 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1478 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{11} = \{12i_1j_1, 13i_2j_2, 145k, 146l, 156l, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2356 : 3 \leq i_1 < j_1 \leq n, 4 \leq i_2 < j_2 \leq n, 6 \leq k \leq n, 7 \leq l \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.12 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{11}) \leq \frac{2}{135} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_{11}}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 145, 146, 156 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, i, j \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{6, 7\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{11}}(8)$, then by Lemma 2.8, $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{11}}(8)) = \lambda(\{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 6\})$. So So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{11}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{11}}(8)) \leq \frac{2}{135}$ from (1). ■

Case 12. $2348, 2356 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2347 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1568 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{12} = \{12i_1j_1, 13i_2j_2, 14i_3j_3, 1567, 2345, 2346, 2347 : 3 \leq i_1 < j_1 \leq n, \\ 4 \leq i_2 < j_2 \leq n, 5 \leq i_3 < j_3 \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.13 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{12}) \leq \frac{1}{72} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an optimum weighting of $\mathcal{F}_{12}$. Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_{12}}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 14k : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, i, j, k \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{5, 6\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{12}}(8)$, then by Lemma 2.8, $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{12}}(8)) = \lambda(\{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 5\})$. Similar to (1), $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{12}}(8)) \leq \frac{1}{18}$. Then we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{12}) \leq \frac{1}{72}$. ■

Case 13. $2356, 2347 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2346 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1578 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{13} = \{12i_1j_1, 13i_2j_2, 14i_3j_3, 156k, 2345, 2346 : 3 \leq i_1 < j_1 \leq n, 4 \leq i_2 < j_2 \leq n, \\ 5 \leq i_3 < j_3 \leq n, 7 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 3.14 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{13}) \leq \frac{2}{135} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_{13}}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 14k, 156 : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, i, j, k \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{6, 7\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{13}}(8)$, then by Lemma 2.8, $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{13}}(8)) = \lambda(\{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 6\})$. So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{13}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{13}}(8)) \leq \frac{2}{135}$ from (1).

Case 14. $2346 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $2345 \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, we have $1678 \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{14} = \{12i_1j_1, 13i_2j_2, 14i_3j_3, 15i_4j_4, 2345 : 3 \leq i_1 < j_1 \leq n, 4 \leq i_2 < j_2 \leq n, \\ 5 \leq i_3 < j_3 \leq n, 6 \leq i_4 < j_4 \leq n, \}.$$

Lemma 3.15 $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{14}) \leq \frac{2}{135} < 0.0169$.

Proof: Note that $L_{\mathcal{F}_{14}}(8) = \{12i, 13j, 14k, 15l : 3 \leq i \leq n, 4 \leq j \leq n, 5 \leq k \leq n, 6 \leq l \leq n, i, j, k, l \neq 8\}$. Since the pair of vertices $\{6, 7\}$ is not covered in $L_{\mathcal{F}_{14}}(8)$, then by Lemma 2.8, $\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{14}}(8)) = \lambda(\{1ij : 2 \leq i < j \leq 6\})$. So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{14}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\lambda(L_{\mathcal{F}_{14}}(8)) \leq \frac{2}{135}$ from (1).

Case 15. $2345 \notin \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{15} = \{1ijk : 2 \leq i < j < k \leq n\} = \mathcal{S}_n$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_{15}) = \frac{9}{512}\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{(n-1)^2} \leq \frac{9}{512}$.

If $\mathcal{F} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S}_n$, then by the argument in Cases 1-14, $\mathcal{F}$ is a subgraph of one of the K_7^4 and $\mathcal{F}_i, i \in [14]$. So $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) < 0.0169$. Hence we complete the proof. ■

4 An application to a hypergraph Turán problem

Given r-graphs F and G, a function $f : V(F) \longrightarrow V(G)$ is a *homomorphism* if it preserves edges, i.e., $f(i_1)f(i_2)\dots f(i_r) \in G$ if $i_1 i_2 \dots i_r \in F$. We say G is *F-hom-free* if there is no homomorphism from F to G. We need the following connection between Turán densities and Lagrangians due to Sidorenko.

Lemma 4.1 (see e.g. [[9], Section 3]) *Given an r-graph F, $\pi(F)$ is the supremum of $r!\lambda(G)$ over all dense F-hom-free r-graphs G.*

Write $H_8^{M_2^4}$ as $K_{4,4}^4$. From Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 4.1 we get that

Theorem 4.2 $\pi(K_{4,4}^4) = \frac{27}{64}$.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{S}$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-free, we get the lower bound. For the upper bound, by Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{9}{512}$ for any dense $K_{4,4}^4$-free 4-graph G. For every dense $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free 4-graph G, we claim that G is M_2^4-free. Otherwise suppose there are two disjoint edges $e, f \in G$. Since G cover pairs, then there is an edge $e_{ab} \in G$ with $\{a, b\} \subseteq e_{ab}$ for every $a \in e$ and $b \in f$. Thus $\{e, f\} \cup \{e_{ab} : a \in e, b \in f\}$ forms a copy of $K_{4,4}^4$ which contradicts G being $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free. Then $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{9}{512}$ by Theorem 1.6. ■

Corollary 4.3 $ex(n, K_{4,4}^4) = \frac{9}{512}n^4 + o(n^4)$ *for sufficiently large n.*

4.1 Stability

In order to prove Theorem 1.5, we will first prove the following stability result.

Theorem 4.4 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and an integer n_0 such that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a $K_{4,4}^4$-free 4-graph with $n \geq n_0$ vertices and at least $(\frac{9}{512} - \delta)n^4$ edges, then there exists a partition $V(\mathcal{F}) = A \cup B$ of the vertex set of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $|\{e \in \mathcal{F} : |e \cap A| \geq 2\}| + |\{e \in \mathcal{F} : e \subseteq B\}| < \varepsilon n^4$.*

We first show that it suffices to prove the result under the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free.

Remark 4.5 *Given an r-graph F and $p \geq |V(F)|$, G is H_p^F-hom-free if and only if G is $\mathcal{K}_p^F$-free.*

Proof: We first prove that if G is H_p^F-hom-free then G is $\mathcal{K}_p^F$-free. Otherwise suppose that G contains a member of $\mathcal{K}_p^F$, say K. By the definition of $\mathcal{K}_p^F$, K contains a core C of size p such that $K[C]$ contains F as a subgraph. We now construct a map f from $V(H_p^F)$ to $V(K)$. Map the core of H_p^F to C, that is the core of K, such that F in H_p^F is mapped to a copy of F in K. For other vertices in $V(H_p^F)$, e.g. B_{ij} such that $\{i, j\} \cup B_{ij} \in H_p^F$, where i, j are in the core of H_p^F, maps B_{ij} to B'_{ij}, where $\{f(i), f(j)\} \cup B'_{ij} \in K$ (since each pair in C is covered by an edge in K, $\{f(i), f(j)\}$ is contained in some edge of K). So f is a homomorphism from H_p^F to K, a contradiction.

Now we prove that if G is $\mathcal{K}_p^F$-free then G is H_p^F-hom-free. Otherwise suppose that G is not H_p^F-hom-free, that is, there is a homomorphism g from H_p^F to G. Denote the core of H_p^F as C' and $C'' = \{g(v) : v \in C'\}$. We first show that $|C''| = |C| = p$. Otherwise suppose that $|C''| < p$, then $\exists u, v \in C$ such that $g(u) = g(v)$, which contradicts that g is a homomorphism, since u, v is contained in some edges of H_p^F. This implies that $F \subseteq G[C'']$. For every pair $x, y \in C$ that is not covered by F, fix one $(r-2)$-set as B_{xy} such that $\{x, y\} \cup B_{xy} \in H_p^F$. Then $\{g(w) : w \in \{x, y\} \cup B_{xy}\}$ is an edge of G for

all pairs $x, y \in C$ that is not covered by F, since g is a homomorphism. Hence $\{\{g(v) : v \in e\} : e \in H_p^F\}$ is a member of $\mathcal{K}_p^F$, a contradiction. ■

In Section 7 of [2], Brandt-Irwin-Jiang proved that every H_p^F-free r-graph on $[n]$ can be made $\mathcal{K}_p^F$-free by removing $\Theta(n^{r-1})$ edges. Let $F = M_2^4$ and $p = 8$, then it suffices to prove Theorem 4.4 under the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free.

Part of our proof follows the approach in [17, 6] by Pikhurko and Hefetz-Keevash. We gradually adjust $\mathcal{F}$ by iterating a process which is called *Symmetrization*. This process consists of two parts: *Cleaning* is to delete vertices with 'small' degree, and *Merging* is to replace the link of a vertex v by the link of a vertex u if $d(v) \le d(u)$ and the pair u, v is not covered by an edge. It terminates if we can no longer clean any vertex. We show the terminating 4-graph is isomorphic to $S^4(n')$ with $n' = (1-o(1))n$. Then we trace back and show that the symmetrization process is 'stable' (does not change the 4-graph much).

Now let us give the proof precisely. Clearly, we can also assume that ε is sufficiently small and $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Let α, β, γ and δ be real numbers satisfying

$$1 \gg \gamma \gg \beta \gg \alpha \gg \varepsilon \gg \delta \gg n_0^{-1}.$$

We operate the symmetrization process for a pointed 4-graph: by this we mean a triple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, U)$, where $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ is a 4-graph, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_u : u \in U\}$ is a partition of V, i.e. for any $v \in V$ there exists some $u \in U$ such that $v \in P_u$ and we give an order for vertices in P_u for every $u \in U$, and $U \subseteq V$ is a transversal of $\mathcal{P}$ and every $u \in U$ is the representative of P_u. Let us describe the process precisely.

Cleaning:
Input: *A pointed 4-graph $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, U)$ on n vertices.*
Output: *A pointed 4-graph $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{P}', U')$ on $n' \le n$ vertices.*
Process: If $\delta(\mathcal{G}) \ge (9/128 - \alpha)n^3$ or $V(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ then stop and return $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{P}', U') = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, U)$, where $n' = |V(\mathcal{G}')|$. Otherwise, let $u \in U$ be an arbitrary vertex such that $d_{\mathcal{G}}(u) < (9/128 - \alpha)n^3$. If $P_u = \{u\}$ then apply cleaning to $(\mathcal{G} - \{u\}, \mathcal{P} - \{P_u\}, U - \{u\})$. Otherwise let $v \in P_u$ be the vertex with the maximum order and apply cleaning to $(\mathcal{G} - \{v\}, (\mathcal{P} - \{P_u\}) \cup \{P_u - \{v\}\}, U)$, where $n' = |V(\mathcal{G}')| < n$.

Note that this algorithm will always terminate and $\delta(\mathcal{G}') \ge (9/128 - \alpha)n'^3$ or $\mathcal{G}'$ is empty. A vertex set U is *covered* by $\mathcal{G}$ if for every pair $u, v \in U$, $\{u, v\}$ is contained in an edge of $\mathcal{G}$.

Merging:
Input: *A pointed 4-graph $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, U)$ on n vertices.*
Output: *A pointed 4-graph $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{P}', U')$ on the same vertex set of $\mathcal{G}$.*
Process: If U is covered by $\mathcal{G}$ then stop and return $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{P}', U') = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, U)$. Otherwise, let $u, v \in U$ be arbitrary vertices such that $\{u, v\}$ is not covered. Assume that $d_{\mathcal{G}}(v) \le d_{\mathcal{G}}(u)$. Merge P_v into P_u (clone), that is, let $P'_u = P_u \cup P_v$ and $P'_w = P_w$ for every $w \in U \setminus \{u, v\}$. Moreover, let $U' = U \setminus \{v\}$ and let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a blowup of $\mathcal{G}'[U']$ with partition sets $\{P'_w : w \in U'\}$, i.e., $E(\mathcal{G}') = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{G}[U']} \prod_{u \in e} P'_u$. Suppose the order of P_u is $u_1 \prec u_2 \prec \cdots \prec u_k$ and the order of P_v is $v_1 \prec v_2 \prec \cdots \prec v_t$, then we give P'_w an order as $u_1 \prec \cdots \prec u_k \prec v_1 \prec \cdots \prec v_t$. Let $\mathcal{P}' = \{P'_w : w \in U'\}$ and return $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{P}', U')$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{P}' = \{P'_u : u \in U'\}$ is a partition of $V(\mathcal{G}')$ satisfying for any $v \in V(\mathcal{G}')$ there exists some $u \in U'$ such that $v \in P'_u$, and $U' \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}')$ is a transversal of $\mathcal{P}'$. Note that the merging processes at most one step and for every $u \in U$, $\forall v, w \in P_u$, we have $v \sim w$. Now we are ready to describe the symmetrization process:

Symmetrization:
Input: A $K^4_{4,4}$-free 4-graph $\mathcal{F} = (V, E)$.
Output: A pointed 4-graph $(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{P}, U)$.
Initiation: Let $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{F} = (V, E)$, $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P_{0,w} : w \in U_0\}$, $U_0 = V$, where $P_{0,w} = \{w\}$ for every $w \in V$. And the order of every part $P_{0,w}$ which has only one single vertex is trivial. Set $i = 0$.
Iteration: Apply Cleaning to $(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{P}_i, U_i)$ and let $\left(\mathcal{H}'_{i+1}, \mathcal{P}'_{i+1}, U'_{i+1}\right)$ be the output, where $\mathcal{H}'_{i+1} = (V'_{i+1}, E'_{i+1})$, and $\mathcal{P}'_{i+1} = \{P'_{i+1,w} : w \in U'_{i+1}\}$. Apply Merging to $(\mathcal{H}'_{i+1} = (V'_{i+1}, E'_{i+1}), \mathcal{P}'_{i+1} = \{P'_{i+1,w} : w \in U'_{i+1}\}, U'_{i+1})$ and let $(\mathcal{H}_{i+1} = (V_{i+1}, E_{i+1}), \mathcal{P}_{i+1} = \{P_{i+1,w} : w \in U_{i+1}\}, U_{i+1})$ be the output. If $(\mathcal{H}_{i+1}, \mathcal{P}_{i+1}, U_{i+1}) = (\mathcal{H}'_{i+1}, \mathcal{P}'_{i+1}, U'_{i+1})$, then stop and return $(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{P}, U) = (\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{P}_i, U_i)$. Otherwise, increase i by one and repeat Cleaning and Merging.

Let $(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{P}, U)$ be the output of applying Symmetrization to $\mathcal{F}$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}'_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}'_t, \mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}^*$$

be the sequence of 4-graphs produced during this process, where $\mathcal{H}'_i = (V'_i, E'_i)$ and $\mathcal{H}_i = (V_i, E_i)$ for every $1 \le i \le t$.

We split the proof into two stages. In the first stage we show that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is contained in a large blowup of $\mathcal{S}_k$, where $k \approx 3n/4$. In the second stage we show that $\mathcal{F}[V_t]$ is a subgraph of a blowup of $\mathcal{S}_k$ (Just clone the vertex that all edges of $\mathcal{S}_k$ intersect for about $n/4$ copies). Then Theorem 4.4 will follow easily from this.

We start with the first stage, which we prove by a series of lemmas.

Lemma 4.6 *The following properties hold for every $0 \le i \le t$.*
(1) *For every $0 \le j \le i$ the set $U_j \cap V_i$ is a transversal for the partition $\{P_{j,v} \cap V_i : v \in U_j \cap V_i\}$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{H}_i[U_i] = \mathcal{F}[U_i]$.*
(3) *$|e \cap P_{j,v}| \le 1$ for every $e \in E_i$ and every $v \in V_i$.*
(4) *For every $u \in U_i$, $\forall v, w \in P_{i,u}$, $v \sim w$.*
(5) *For every $i \ge 1$, $U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}$ and $V_i \subseteq V_{i-1}$.*

Proof: By the process of symmetrization algorithm, properties (2)-(5) hold obviously. Now we prove property (1). It is sufficient to show that $\{P_{j,v} \cap V_i : v \in U_j \cap V_i\}$ is a partition of V_i. (i) For any distinct vertices $u, v \in U_j \cap V_i$, $(P_{j,u} \cap V_i) \cap (P_{j,v} \cap V_i) \subseteq P_{j,u} \cap P_{j,v} = \emptyset$. (ii) Since $V_i \subseteq V_j$ by property (5) and $V_j = \bigcup_{w \in U_j} P_{j,w}$ (a partition), then $\forall v \in V_i$, $\exists u \in U_j$ such that $v \in P_{j,u} \cap V_i$. On the other hand, $\bigcup_{u \in U_j \cap V_i} (P_{j,u} \cap V_i) = (\bigcup_{u \in U_j \cap V_i} P_{j,u}) \cap V_i \subseteq V_j \cap V_i = V_i$. So $\bigcup_{u \in U_j \cap V_i} (P_{j,u} \cap V_i) = V_i$ ■

Lemma 4.7 *Let $1 \le i \le t$ and suppose that $P'_{i,v}$ was merged into $P'_{i,u}$ during the ith merging step. Then $P'_{i,u} \cap V(\mathcal{F}^*) = \emptyset$ implies $P'_{i,v} \cap V(\mathcal{F}^*) = \emptyset$.*

Proof: Suppose $P'_{i,u} \cap V(\mathcal{F}^*) = \emptyset$, this implies that u has been cleaned in some jth merging step, where $i < j \le t$. Since u is the last vertex among $P'_{i,v} \cup P'_{i,u}$ that be cleaned, so all vertices in $P'_{i,v}$ have been cleaned. Therefore, $P'_{i,v} \cap V(\mathcal{F}^*) = \emptyset$. ■

Since merging preserves the property of $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-freeness and deleting vertices certainly also does, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8 *$\mathcal{H}_i$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free for every $0 \le i \le t$.*

The next Lemma asserts that merging does not decrease the number of edges.

Lemma 4.9 *$e(\mathcal{H}_i) \geq e(\mathcal{H}'_i)$ holds for every $1 \leq i \leq t$.*

Lemma 4.10 *$\mathcal{F}[U_t]$ is M_2^4-free.*

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exist two disjoint edges e, f in $\mathcal{F}[U_t]$. Note that $\mathcal{F}[U_t] = \mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$ and $\mathcal{F}[U_t]$ cover pairs, then $\forall a \in e$ and $\forall b \in f$, $\exists e_{ab} \in \mathcal{F}[U_t]$ such that $a, b \in e_{ab}$. Hence $\{e, f\} \cup \{e_{ab} : a \in e, b \in f\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}[U_t] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, which contradicts that $\mathcal{F}$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free. ■

The following proposition follows immediately from the definition and is implicit in many papers (see [9] for instance). We include a short proof of it for completeness.

Proposition 4.11 *Let F be an r-graph. Let L be an F-free r-graph. Let H be a blow-up of L with n vertices. Then $|H| \leq \frac{\pi_\lambda(F)}{r!} n^r$. In particular, $|\mathcal{F}^*| \leq \frac{9}{512} n^4$.*

Proof: Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a weighting of H, where $x_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then

$$\frac{|H|}{n^r} = \lambda(H, \vec{x}) \leq \lambda(H) = \lambda(L) \leq \pi_\lambda(F)/r!,$$

where $\lambda(H) = \lambda(L)$ is from Corollary 2.9. Therefore $|H| \leq \frac{\pi_\lambda(F)}{r!} n^r$. Since $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a blowup of $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$ and $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$ is an M_2^4-free 4-graph by Lemma 4.10, then $|\mathcal{F}^*| \leq \frac{\pi_\lambda(M_2^4) n^4}{4!} = \frac{9}{512} n^4$. ■

Let C_i be the vertex set deleted by the ith cleaning, where $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, i.e. $C_i = V_i \setminus V'_{i+1}$. Let $C = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} C_i$, so $C = V \setminus V_t$ is the set of vertices removed by the symmetrization algorithm. The next lemma asserts that the symmetrization process does not delete too many vertices.

Lemma 4.12 *$|V(\mathcal{F}^*)| \geq (1-\alpha)n$.*

Proof: Write $s = |C|$. By Lemma 4.9 and the definition of cleaning we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}^*| &\geq |\mathcal{F}| - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (9/128 - \alpha)\,(n-i)^3 \\ &\geq (9/512 - \delta)\, n^4 - ((9/512 - \alpha/4)\,(n^4 - (n-s)^4) + \delta n^4) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (n-i)^3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^3 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} i^3 = \frac{1}{4} n^2 (n+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (n-s)^2 (n-s+1)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} n^4 - \frac{1}{4} (n-s)^4 - \delta n^4.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}^*$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-hom-free, then by Proposition 4.11 we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^*| \leq 9/512 (n-s)^4.$$

This yields

$$\frac{\alpha}{4} (n-s)^4 \geq (\frac{\alpha}{4} - 2\delta) n^4.$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{n-s}{n}\right)^4 \geq \frac{\alpha/4 - 2\delta}{\alpha/4} > 1 - \alpha$$

for $\delta \ll \alpha$. Hence

$$\frac{n-s}{n} > (1-\alpha)^{1/4} \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

So $s < \alpha n$ and $|V(\mathcal{F}^*)| \geq (1-\alpha)n$. ■

Lemma 4.13 *$\mathcal{F}^*[U_t] \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{|U_t|}$. Let 1 be the vertex in U_t that intersects all edges of $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$, then $|P_{t,1}| = (\frac{1}{4} \pm \beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$.*

Proof: Suppose $U_t = [m]$. Let $\vec{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a weighting of $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$ such that $x_i = \frac{|P_{t,i}|}{|V_t|}$ for every $i \in [m]$. So $x_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$. Then

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}^*[U_t], \vec{x}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^*[U_t]} \prod_{i \in e} \frac{|P_{t,i}|}{|V_t|} = \frac{1}{|V_t|^4} e(\mathcal{F}^*).$$

Since $d_{\mathcal{F}^*}(x) \geq (\frac{9}{128} - \alpha)|V_t|^3$ for every $x \in V(\mathcal{F}^*)$, so $e(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq (\frac{9}{512} - \frac{\alpha}{4})|V_t|^4$. Then $\lambda(\mathcal{F}^*[U_t], \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{|V_t|^4} e(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq \frac{9}{512} - \frac{\alpha}{4}$. Since α is small enough, then by Theorem 1.6, we have $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t] \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{|U_t|}$. This proves the first part.

For the second part, suppose that $|P_{t,1}| \neq (\frac{1}{4} \pm \beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$. Denote $A = P_{t,1}$ and $B = V(\mathcal{F}^*) \setminus A$.

Case 1. $|A| > (\frac{1}{4} + \beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$. Then $|B| < (\frac{3}{4} - \beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$. Then for any $v \in A$, $d_{\mathcal{F}^*}(v) \leq \binom{(\frac{3}{4}-\beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|}{3} \leq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \frac{9}{33}\beta\right)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|^3$, which contradicts that $\delta(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \delta\right)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|^3$.

Case 2. $|A| < (\frac{1}{4} - \beta)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$. Suppose that $|A| = (\frac{1}{4} - \mu)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$, so $|B| = (\frac{3}{4} + \mu)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|$, where $\mu > \beta$. Then for any $v \in B$, $d_{\mathcal{F}^*}(v) \leq (\frac{1}{4} - \mu)|V(\mathcal{F}^*| \cdot \binom{(\frac{3}{4}+\mu)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{9}{64} - \frac{3}{16}\mu - \frac{5}{4}\mu^2 - \mu^3\right)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|^3 \leq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \frac{3}{16}\beta\right)|V(\mathcal{F}^*)|^3$, a contradiction. ■

This completes the first stage of the proof. The second stage is to show that $\mathcal{F}[V_t]$ is a subgraph of a blowup of $\mathcal{S}_{|U_t|}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}[V_t] \subseteq A' \times \binom{B'}{3}$ for some $\{A', B'\}$ which is a partition of V_t satisfying $|A'| \approx |B'|/3$. To do so, we will trace back the Merging steps performed during symmetrization.

Recall that 1 is the vertex in U_t that intersects all edges of $\mathcal{F}^*[U_t]$. Let A be the set $P_{t,1}$ and $B = V(\mathcal{F}_t) \setminus A$. By Lemma 4.13 we have $V_t = A \cup B$ with $|A| \approx \frac{1}{3}|B|$. For every $0 \leq i \leq t$ we will find a partition $\mathcal{Q}_i = \{Q_{i,j} : j \in [2]\}$ of V_t which satisfies the following properties:

(P1) $1 \in Q_{i,1}$;

(P2) For every $v \in U_t$, $P_{i,v} \cap V_t \subseteq Q_{i,1}$ or $P_{i,v} \cap V_t \subseteq Q_{i,2}$;

(P3) For every $e \in \mathcal{H}_i[V_t]$ we have $|e \cap Q_{i,1}| = 1$ (so $|e \cap Q_{i,2}| = 3$), that is, $\mathcal{H}_i[V_t] \subseteq Q_{i,1} \times \binom{Q_{i,2}}{3}$.

Set $Q_{t,1} = A$ and $Q_{t,2} = B$. It follows by Lemma 4.13 that $\mathcal{Q}_t = \{Q_{t,1}, Q_{t,2}\}$ satisfies (P1)-(P3). Assume that we have already found a partition $\mathcal{Q}_i$ which satisfies (P1)-(P3) for some $i \in [t]$, now we will find a partition $\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}$ with the desired properties.

Write

$$m = |V_t|, \ \mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{H}_i[V_t], \ \mathcal{G}_{i-1} = \mathcal{H}_{i-1}[V_t] \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_i = Q_{i,1} \times \binom{Q_{i,2}}{3}.$$

We first prove the following Lemma which is vital for finding $\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}$ with the desired properties.

Lemma 4.14 *Let $0 \leq i \leq t$.*

(i) *$\delta(\mathcal{G}_i) \geq (\frac{9}{128} - 2\alpha)m^3$, so $e(\mathcal{G}_i) \geq (\frac{9}{512} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha)m^4$.*

If a partition $\mathcal{Q}_i = \{Q_{i,1}, Q_{i,2}\}$ of V_t satisfies (P1)-(P3), then

(ii) *$|Q_{i,1}| = (\frac{1}{4} \pm \beta)m$, so $|Q_{i,2}| = (\frac{3}{4} \pm \beta)m$ and*

(iii) *$d_{\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i}(x) \leq \gamma m^3$ for all $x \in V_t$.*

Proof: By definition of cleaning, $d_{\mathcal{H}_i}(x) \geq (\frac{9}{128} - \alpha)m^3$ holds for every $x \in V_t$. It follows by Lemma 4.12 that

$$\delta(\mathcal{G}_i) \geq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \alpha\right)m^3 - |V_i \setminus V_t|\binom{n}{2} \geq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \alpha\right)m^3 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\left(\frac{m}{1-\alpha}\right)^3 \geq \left(\frac{9}{128} - 2\alpha\right)m^3.$$

This proves (i).

Next, assume for the sake of contradiction that $|Q_{i,1}| \neq \left(\frac{1}{4} \pm \beta\right) m$. First suppose that $|Q_{i,1}| > \left(\frac{1}{4} + \beta\right) m$. Then $|Q_{i,2}| < (\frac{3}{4} - \beta)m$. For any $v \in Q_{i,1}$, $d_{\mathcal{F}^*}(v) \leq \binom{(\frac{3}{4}-\beta)m}{3} \leq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \frac{9}{33}\beta\right) m^3$, which contradicts to $\delta(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \delta\right) m^3$. Now assume that $|Q_{i,1}| < \left(\frac{1}{4} - \beta\right) m$. Suppose that $|Q_{i,1}| = (\frac{1}{4} - \mu)m$, where $\beta \leq \mu \leq 1/4$ is a real number. So $|Q_{i,2}| = (\frac{3}{4} + \mu)m$. For any $v \in Q_{i,2}$, $d_{\mathcal{F}^*}(v) \leq (\frac{1}{4} - \mu)|V(\mathcal{F}^*| \cdot \binom{(\frac{3}{4}+\mu)m}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{9}{64} - \frac{3}{16}\mu - \frac{5}{4}\mu^2 - \mu^3\right) m^3 \leq \left(\frac{9}{128} - \frac{3}{16}\beta\right) m^3$, a contradiction. This proves (ii).

Finally, let $x \in Q_{i,1}$ and $y \in Q_{i,2}$ be two arbitrary vertices. By (P3) and (ii) we have

$$d_{\mathcal{B}_i}(x) \leq \binom{\left(\frac{3}{4} + \beta\right) m}{3} \leq \left(\frac{9}{128} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) m^3$$

and

$$d_{\mathcal{B}_i}(y) \leq (\frac{1}{4} + \beta)m\binom{(\frac{3}{4}+\beta)m}{2} \leq (\frac{9}{128} + \frac{\gamma}{2})m^3.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i$ by (P3) for $\mathcal{Q}_i$, this implies (iii), using (i) and $\alpha \ll \gamma$. ■

Lemma 4.15 *Let c be a real number satisfying $\gamma \ll c \leq 10^{-2}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free 4-graph with vertex set $Q_{i,1} \cup Q_{i,2}$, where $Q_{i,1} \cap Q_{i,2} = \emptyset$ and let $\mathcal{B} = Q_{i,1} \times \binom{Q_{i,2}}{3}$. Let $m = |Q_{i,1} \cup Q_{i,2}|$. If there are vertex sets $A \subseteq Q_{i,1}$ and $B \subseteq Q_{i,2}$ satisfying that*
(1) *$|A| \geq cm$ and $|B| \geq cm$,*
(2) *$d_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \geq (9/128 - 2\alpha)m^3$ for every $x \in Q_{i,1} \cup Q_{i,2}$ and*
(3) *$d_{\mathcal{B}[A\cup B]\setminus\mathcal{G}[A\cup B]}(x) \leq \gamma m^3$ for every $x \in A$,*
then there is no edge e of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $|e \cap A| \geq 2$.

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists one edge $e \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $|e \cap A| \geq 2$. Let $a, b \in e$ satisfy $a, b \in A$. For $x = a$ or b let

$$B(x) := \{x' \in B \setminus e : \exists e' \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } \{x, x'\} \subseteq e'\}.$$

By condition (3) we have $|B(x)| \geq |B| - cm/10$. Let $Q' = B(a) \cap B(b)$. It is clear that $|Q'| \geq 3cm/4$. Let Q'_1, Q'_2, Q'_3 be an arbitrary partition of Q' satisfying $|Q'_j| \geq cm/4$ for every $j \in [3]$. Fix $D \subseteq A \setminus e$ satisfying $|D| \geq cm/2$. Denote the maximum set of the disjoint triples in Q'_1 belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}}(a)$ as M_a. We claim that $|M_a| \geq cm/20$. Otherwise suppose that $|M_a| < cm/20$. Since for every triple $g \in Q'_1 \setminus (\cup_{f\in M_a} f)$, $g \notin L_{\mathcal{G}}(a)$. Then there are at least $\binom{|Q'_1\setminus(\cup_{f\in M_a} f)|}{3} > \binom{cm/10}{3}$ triples in Q'_1 not belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}}(a)$, which contradicts to (3). Similarly, there are at least $cm/20$ pairwise disjoint triples in Q'_2, denoted as M_b, belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}}(b)$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free, then $\forall f_1 \in M_a$, $\forall f_2 \in M_b$, $\exists v_1 \in f_1, v_2 \in f_2$, $v_1v_2u_1u_2 \notin \mathcal{G}$ for all $u_1 \in D$ and $u_2 \in Q'_3$. Let v be an arbitrary vertex in D. Then there are at least $|M_a| \cdot |M_b| \cdot |Q'_3|$ triples not belonging to $L_{\mathcal{B}[A\cup B]\setminus\mathcal{G}[A\cup B]}(v)$, which contradicts to (3). ■

Let $u, v \in U'_i$ be such that in the ith Merging step $P'_{i,v}$ was merged into $P'_{i,u}$. Note that $u \in U_i$ and $v \notin U_i$. Denote

$$A_u = P'_{i,u} \cap V_t \text{ and } A_v = P'_{i,v} \cap V_t.$$

We can view $\mathcal{G}_i$ as being obtained from $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ by Merging A_v to A_u. Since $\mathcal{Q}_i$ satisfies (P2), then $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,1}$ or $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,2}$. In both cases, let

$$W_1 = Q_{i,1} \setminus A_v \text{ and } W_2 = Q_{i,2} \setminus A_v.$$

Suppose the partition $\{W_1', W_2'\}$ of V_t is obtained by adding A_v to W_1 or W_2 such that

$$\Sigma := |\{e \in E_i : |e \cap W_1'| = 2 \text{ or } e \subseteq W_2'\}| + 2|\{e \in E_i : |e \cap W_1'| = 3\}| + 3|\{e \in E_i : e \subseteq W_1'\}|$$

is the smaller one.

Let $Q_{i-1,1} = W_1'$ and $Q_{i-1,2} = W_2'$ and let $\mathcal{Q}_{i-1} = \{Q_{i-1,1}, Q_{i-1,2}\}$. We call an edge e of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ *bad* if $|e \cap W_1'| = 2$ or $e \subseteq W_2'$, *very bad* if $|e \cap W_1'| = 3$, *worst* if $|e \cap W_1'| = 4$ or *good* otherwise. We will prove that $\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}$ satisfies (P1)-(P3). This is immediate for (P2), and (P1) follows since $W_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \notin A_v$ by the definition of Merging. It remains to prove (P3), i.e. all edges are good. Equivalently, we need to show that $\Sigma = 0$, as every bad edge is counted exactly once in Σ, every very bad edge is counted exactly twice in Σ and every worst edge is counted exactly three times in Σ, whereas good edges are not counted at all.

Note that any $e \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ that is not good satisfies $|e \cap A_v| = 1$. Since $|e \cap A_v| \leq 1$ by the definition of Merging and $|e \cap A_v| \geq 1$ by (P3) for $\mathcal{Q}_i$.

We say that a vertex of A_v is *bad* if it is contained in at least $10^{-3}m^3$ edges which are not good. Before proving that all edges are good, we will prove that a vertex of A_v cannot be contained in too many edges which are not good.

Lemma 4.16 *There are no bad vertices in A_v.*

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that $x \in A_v$ is a bad vertex. Then every vertex in A_v is bad. We divide it into two cases according to $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,1}$ or $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,2}$.
Case 1. $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,1}$. Note that $W_1 = Q_{i,1} \setminus A_v$ and $W_2 = Q_{i,2}$ in this case.

Subcase 1. Adding A_v to W_1 minimises Σ. Since every bad edge (if there exist bad edges) intersects A_v, so every bad edge intersect W_1. Fix an edge $xaa_1a_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ such that $a \in W_1$. Note that $a \notin A_v$.

Claim 1.1.1: There are at least $\frac{1}{30}m^3$ good edges containing x. Otherwise we consider the partition $\{W_1'', W_2''\}$ of V_t obtained by adding A_v to W_2 rather than W_1. This new partition implies that every good edge containing a vertex of A_v turns bad, this contributes to Σ at most $\frac{1}{30}|A_v|m^3$. Every bad edge turns good, every very bad edges turns bad and every worst edge turns very bad, so this reduces Σ by at least $(9/128 - 2\alpha - 1/30)|A_v|m^3 > \frac{1}{30}|A_v|m^3$, which contradicts the minimality of Σ.

Claim 1.1.2: There are at least $10^{-3}m$ pairwise disjoint triples in W_2 belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(x)$. Otherwise, since every such triple intersects with less than $3\binom{m}{2}$ triples in W_2, then there are at most $3\binom{m}{2} \cdot 10^{-3}m < m^3/30$ good edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing x, contradicting to Claim 1.1.1.

Fix a set of such triples of size $10^{-3}m$ as $M(x)$. Let

$$B(x) := \{x' \in W_2 : \exists e \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \text{ such that } \{x, x'\} \subseteq e\}.$$

Claim 1.1.3: $|B(x) \setminus (\cup_{e \in M(x)} e)| \geq m/10$. Since all good edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing x are contained in $\{x\} \times \binom{B(x)}{3}$, then $\binom{|B(x)|}{3} \geq \frac{1}{30}m^3$ and Claim 1.1.3 follows.

Denote the maximum set of the disjoint triples in $B(x) \setminus (\bigcup_{e \in M(x)} e)$ belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a)$ (in this sense, they belong to $L_{\mathcal{G}_i}(a)$ as well) as $M(a)$.

Claim 1.1.4: $|M(a)| \geq m/60$. Otherwise suppose that $|M_a| < m/60$. Since for every triple g in $B(x) \setminus (\bigcup_{f \in M(x) \cup M(a)} f)$, $g \notin L_{\mathcal{G}_i}(a)$ (or $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a)$). Then there are at least $\binom{m/20}{3}$ triples in W_2 not belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}_i}(a)$ (or $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a)$), which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (iii).

Since $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free, then for every $f_1 \in M(x)$ and every $f_2 \in M(a)$, there exist $b_1 \in f_1$ and $b_2 \in f_2$ such that $b_1b_2b_3b_4 \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ ($b_1b_2b_3b_4 \notin \mathcal{G}_i$ as well) for every pair $b_3, b_4 \in V_t$. Thus for any $w \in W_1 \setminus (A_v \cup xaa_1a_2)$, we have

$$d_{\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i}(w) \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot |M(x)| \cdot |M(a)| \cdot (|W_2| - 2) > \gamma m^3.$$

Thus we get a contradiction with Lemma 4.14 (iii).

Subcase 2. Adding A_v to W_2 minimizes Σ.

We first prove that all edges which is not good are contained in $W_2 \cup A_v$.

Claim 1.2.1: $|A_v| < |Q_{i,1}| - m/20$ (note that $W_1 = Q_{i,1} \setminus A_v$). Suppose that $|A_v| \geq |Q_{i,1}| - m/20$. Then $|W_1| \leq m/20$. Let $w \in A_v$. We bound the number of good edges containing w, denoted by $d_{good}(w)$, and the number of edges containing w which are not good, denoted by $d_{bad}(w)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$. Then

$$d_{good}(w) \leq |W_1| \binom{|W_2|}{2} \leq \frac{m}{20} \binom{19m/20}{2} \leq 0.023m^3.$$

So

$$d_{bad}(w) \geq (9/128 - 2\alpha)m^3 - 0.023m^3 \geq 0.047m^3.$$

Now we consider the partition $\{W_1'', W_2''\}$ of V_t obtained by adding A_v to W_1 rather than W_2. The number increasing Σ is at most

$$|W_1| \cdot \binom{|W_2|}{2} \cdot |A_v| + \binom{|W_1|}{2} \cdot |W_2| \cdot |A_v| + \binom{|W_1|}{3} \cdot |A_v| < 0.02|A_v|m^3,$$

whereas the number decreasing Σ is at least

$$\sum_{w \in A_v} d_{bad}(w) - \binom{|W_1|}{2}|W_2| - \binom{|W_1|}{3} \geq 0.03|A_v|m^3.$$

This contradicts the minimality of Σ.

Let $A = W_1$ and $B = W_2$. By Lemma 4.14, and the relationship between $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ with $Q_{i-1,1} \cup Q_{i-1,2}$ and A, B satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.15. Applying Lemma 4.15, we get that all bad edges are contained in $W_2 \cup A_v$. Fix an edge $xyzw \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ satisfying $y, z, w \in W_2$. For $j = 1, 2$, let

$$B_j(x) := \{x' \in W_j \setminus xyzw : \exists e \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \text{ such that } \{x, x'\} \subseteq e\}.$$

Claim 1.2.2: $|B_1(x)| \geq 10^{-3}m$ and $|B_2(x)| \geq 10^{-1}m$. We first prove that $|B_1(x)| \geq 10^{-3}m$. Otherwise suppose that $|B_1(x)| < 10^{-3}m$. Now we consider the partition $\{W_1'', W_2''\}$ of V_t obtained by adding A_v to W_1 rather than W_2. Since there are no very bad edges and worst edges in the partition of $\{W_1', W_2'\}$, so every bad edge turns good. There are at least $|A_v|10^{-3}m^3$ such edges (Recall that every vertex in A_v is bad). On the other side, every good edge containing a vertex of A_v becomes bad. There are less than $|A_v| \cdot |B_1(x)|m^2 < |A_v|10^{-3}m^3$ such edges. However, this contradicts the minimality of Σ. Now we prove that $|B_2(x)| \geq 10^{-1}m$. Since there are at least $10^{-3}m^3$ edges containing x in $W_2 \cup A_v$, then $\binom{|B_2(x)|}{3} \geq 10^{-3}m^3$.

Claim 1.2.3: Let M_x be the maximum matching of such edges satisfying one vertex in $B_1(x)$ and another three vertices in $B_2(x)$, then $|M_x| \geq 10^{-4}m$. Otherwise suppose that $|M_x| < 10^{-4}m$. Denote $V_x = \cup_{f \in M_x} f$. Since for every vertex $x_1 \in B_1(x) \setminus V_x$ and every triple $\{x_2, x_3, x_4\} \in B_2(x) \setminus V_x$,

$\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \in \mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ (in $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$ as well). Then there are at least $|B_1(x) \setminus V_x|\binom{|B_2(x)\setminus V_x|}{3} \geq \varepsilon n^4$ edges of $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$, which contradicts (iii) of Lemma 4.14.

Since $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free, then $\forall f_1 \in M_x$, $\exists a \in f_1$ and $q \in \{y, z, w\}$ such that $aqq'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$.

Consider the subcase that there are at least $10^{-5}m$ vertices in $B_1(x) \cap (\cup_{f\in M_x})$ such that for every such vertex b, $\exists q \in \{y, z, w\}$ such that $bqq'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$. By pigeonhole principle, there is $q \in \{y, z, w\}$ such that there are at least $1/3 \cdot 10^{-5}m \cdot \binom{m/2}{2} > 10^{-7}m^3$ edges of $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$ containing q, which contradicts (iii) of Lemma 4.14.

In the remaining subcase that there are at least $9 \cdot 10^{-5}m$ vertices in $B_2(x) \cap (\cup_{f\in M_x})$ such that for every such vertex b', $\exists p \in \{y, z, w\}$ such that $b'pq'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$. By pigeonhole principle, there is $p' \in \{y, z, w\}$ such that there are at least $\frac{1}{3} \cdot 9 \cdot 10^{-5}m \cdot \frac{m}{20} \cdot \frac{m}{2} > 10^{-7}m^3$ edges of $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$ containing p', which contradicts (iii) of Lemma 4.14.

Case 2. $A_v \cup A_u \subseteq Q_{i,2}$.

Subcase 1. Adding A_v to W_1 minimizing Σ.

Claim 2.1.1: $|A_v| < m/4$. Suppose that $|A_v| \geq m/4$. In this case, there are no bad edges contained in W_2. Consider the vertex $u \in A_u$. So all edges containing u are good. We now count the degree of u in $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ (in $\mathcal{G}_i$ as well),

$$d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(u) \leq |W_1|\binom{|W_2|}{2} \leq (m/4+\gamma) \cdot \binom{3m/4 - m/4 + \gamma}{2} < (9/128 - 2\alpha)m^3,$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i).

Let $A = W_1$ and $B = W_2$. By Lemma 4.14, and the relationship between $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ with $Q_{i-1,1} \cup Q_{i-1,2}$ and A, B satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.15. Applying Lemma 4.15, we are done.

Subcase 2. Adding A_v to W_2 minimizing Σ.

Denote $W = W_1 \cup W_2 = V_t \setminus A_v$. We first show that there is no edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ intersecting W_1 with two or three vertices. Let $u' \in A_u$, note that every edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ containing u' is good. Then $(9/128 - 2\alpha)m^3 \leq d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(u') \leq |W_1| \cdot \binom{|W_2|}{2}$, note that $|W_1| = |Q_{i,1}| = (1/4 \pm \gamma)m$, so $|W_2| \geq m/2$. Let $A = W_1$ and $B = W_2$. By Lemma 4.14, and the relationship between $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ with $Q_{i-1,1} \cup Q_{i-1,2}$ and A, B satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.15. Applying Lemma 4.15 we get that there is no edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ that contains two or three vertices of W_1. Hence there are at least $10^{-3}m^3$ edges containing x contained in $W_2 \cup A_v$. Fix one such edge $xyzw \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$, where $y, z, w \in W_2$. For $j = 1, 2$, let

$$B'_j(x) := \{x' \in W_j \setminus \{y, z, w\} : \exists e \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \text{ such that } \{x, x'\} \subseteq e\}.$$

We claim that $|B'_1(x)| \geq 10^{-4}m$ and $|B'_2(x)| \geq 10^{-2}m$. We first prove that $|B'_1(x)| \geq 10^{-4}m$. Otherwise suppose that $|B'_1(x)| < 10^{-4}m$. Now we consider the partition $\{W''_1, W''_2\}$ of V_t obtained by adding A_v to W_1 rather than W_2. Every bad edge contained in W_2 turns good. There are at least $|A_v|10^{-3}m^3$ such edges. On the other hand, every good edge containing a vertex of A_v becomes bad, every bad edge that intersects W_1 with two vertices turns very bad and every very bad edge turns worst. There are less than $|A_v| \cdot |B'_1(x)|m^2 < |A_v|10^{-3}m^3$ such edges. However, this contradicts the minimality of Σ. Now we prove that $|B'_2(x)| \geq 10^{-2}m$. Since there are at least $10^{-3}m^3$ edges containing x in W_2 and $\binom{|B'_2(x)|}{3} \geq 10^{-3}m^3$, then $|B'_2(x)| > 10^{-2}m$.

Let $M'(x)$ be the maximum matching of such edges satisfying one vertex in $B_1'(x)$ and another three vertices in $B_2'(x)$. Similar to Claim 1.2.3, we have $|M'(x)| \geq 10^{-5}m$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is $K_{4,4}^4$-free, then $\forall f_1 \in M'(x)$, $\exists a \in f_1$ and $q \in \{y,z,w\}$ such that $aqq'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$.

Consider the subcase that there are at least $10^{-6}m$ vertices in $D \subseteq B_1'(x) \cap (\cup_{f \in M(x)})$ such that $\forall b \in D$, $\exists q \in \{y,z,w\}$ such that $bqq'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$. By pigeonhole principle, there is $q \in \{y,z,w\}$ such that there are at least $1/3 \cdot 10^{-6}m \cdot \binom{m/2}{2} > 10^{-8}m^3$ edges of $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$ containing q, which contradicts (iii) of Lemma 4.14.

In the remaining subcase that there are at least $9 \cdot 10^{-6}m$ edges $e \in M'(x)$ such that $\exists d \in e \cap W_2$ and $d' \in \{y,z,w\}$ such that $dd'q'q'' \notin \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ for all pairs $q', q'' \in V_t$. By pigeonhole principle, there is $p' \in \{y,z,w\}$ such that there are at least $\frac{1}{3} \cdot 9 \cdot 10^{-6}m \cdot \frac{m}{20} \cdot \frac{m}{2} > 10^{-8}m^3$ edges of $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_i$ containing p', which contradicts (iii) of Lemma 4.14. ■

In our next lemma we will conclude the second stage of the proof by showing that every edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is good. First we show that $|W_1'| \geq m/5$ and $|W_2'| \geq 3m/5$ (so $m/5 \leq |W_1'| \leq 2m/5$ and $3m/5 \leq |W_2'| \leq 4m/5$). Note that W_1' and W_2' are obtained by adding A_v to W_1 or W_2. If $W_1' = Q_{i,1}$ then this holds by Lemma 4.14 (ii). Now we consider the remaining two cases. In both cases, let $x \in A_v$.

Case 1. Adding A_v from $Q_{i,1}$ to W_2. For this case $Q_{i,2} \subseteq W_2'$, so it suffices to prove $|W_1'| \geq m/5$. Suppose that $|W_1'| < m/5$. By Lemma 4.14 (ii) and Lemma 4.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(x) &\leq 10^{-3}m^3 + |W_1'|\binom{|W_2'|}{2} \\ &\leq 10^{-3}m^3 + \frac{m}{5} \cdot \frac{8m^2}{25} \\ &< (\frac{9}{128} - \alpha)m^3, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i).

Case 2. Adding A_v from $Q_{i,2}$ to W_1. For this case $Q_{i,1} \subseteq W_1'$, so it suffices to prove $|W_2'| \geq 3m/5$. Otherwise suppose $|W_2'| < 3m/5$. By Lemma 4.14 (ii) and Lemma 4.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(x) &\leq 10^{-3}m^3 + \binom{|W_2'|}{3} \\ &< (\frac{9}{128} - \alpha)m^3, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i). We can now state our next lemma.

Lemma 4.17 *Every edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is good.*

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that $e \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is not a good edge.

Case 1. $|e \cap W_1'| \geq 2$.

Let $a, b \in e$ satisfy $a, b \in W_1'$. For $x = a$ or b let

$$B(x) := \{x' \in W_2' \setminus e : \exists e' \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \text{ such that } \{x, x'\} \subseteq e'\}.$$

We claim that $|B(a) \cap B(b)| \geq m/2$. Otherwise $|B(a)| \leq |W_2'|/2 + m/4$ or $|B(b)| \leq |W_2'|/2 + m/4$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $|B(a)| \leq |W_2'|/2 + m/4$, then $|B(a)| \leq 2m/5 + m/4 = 13m/20$. Hence $d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a) \leq \binom{13m/20}{3} + 10^{-3}m^3 < (\frac{9}{128} - \alpha)m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i).

We claim that there are at least $m/100$ disjoint triples in $B(a)\cap B(b)$ belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a)$. Otherwise $d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a) \le \binom{4m/5}{3} - \binom{m/2-3m/100}{3} + 10^{-3}m^3 < (\frac{9}{128}-\alpha)m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i). Fix such a set of $m/100$ triples as M_a.

We also claim that there are at least $m/100$ disjoint triples in $(B(a)\cap B(b)) \setminus (\cup_{f\in M_a} f)$ belonging to $L_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(b)$. Otherwise $d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(b) \le \binom{4m/5}{3} - \binom{m/2-6m/100}{3} + 10^{-3}m^3 < (\frac{9}{128}-\alpha)m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i). Fix such a set of $m/100$ triples as M_b.

Since $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free, then $\forall f_1 \in M_a$ and $f_2 \in M_b$, $\exists w_1 \in f_1$ and $w_2 \in f_2$ such that $\{w_1, w_2\}$ is not contained in any edge of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$. Let $w_3 \in W_2 \setminus (\cup_{f\in M_a\cup M_b} f)$ (there exists such a vertex), then $d_{\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{G}_i}(w_3) \ge |M(a)|\cdot|M(b)|\cdot(|W_1| - m/50) > \gamma m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (iii).

Case 2. $e = xyzw \subseteq W_2'$.

For $j = 1, 2$ and $a \in \{x, y, z, w\}$ let

$$B_j(a) := \{a' \in W_j' : \exists e' \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \text{ such that } \{a, a'\} \subseteq e'\}.$$

We claim that $|B_1(a)| \ge m/6$ and $|B_2(a)| \ge m/2$ for every $a \in \{x, y, z, w\}$. We first prove that $|B_1(a)| \ge m/6$. Otherwise suppose that $|B_1(a)| < m/6$. Then $d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a) < 10^{-3}m^3 + |B_1(a)|\binom{|W_2'|}{2} \le 10^{-3}m^3 + \frac{m}{6}\binom{4m/5}{2} < (\frac{9}{128}-\alpha)m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i). Now we prove that $|B_2(a)| \ge m/2$. Otherwise, in a similar way as above, $d_{\mathcal{G}_{i-1}}(a) < 10^{-3}m^3 + |W_1'|\binom{m/2}{2} \le 10^{-3}m^3 + 2m/5\binom{m/2}{2} < (\frac{9}{128}-\alpha)m^3$, which contradicts Lemma 4.14 (i).

Now we show that almost all vertices in $B_1(a)\cup B_2(a)$ are adjacent to y, z, w. Otherwise without loss of generality assume there are $10\gamma m$ vertices of $B_1(a)$ which are not adjacent to y. Then

$$d_{\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{G}_i}(y) > 10\gamma m\binom{3m/5}{2} > \gamma m^3,$$

contradicting to Lemma 4.14 (iii). Let $B_1 \subseteq W_1'$ and $B_2 \subseteq W_2'$ be the common neighbourhood of x, y, z, w. Since almost all vertices in V_t are the neighbours of every vertex in W_2', so we can assume that $|B_1| \ge m/7$ and $|B_2| \ge m/3$. It is clearly that there is an edge $e' \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ with one vertex in B_1 and another three vertices in B_2. Then $\forall a \in xyzw$ and $b \in e'$, there exists one edge e_{ab} of $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ such that $\{a, b\} \subseteq e_{ab}$. Hence $\{e_{ab} : a \in \{x, y, z, w\}, b \in e'\} \cup \{xyzw, e'\}$ forms a configuration contradicting that $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-hom-free. ■

This shows that $\mathcal{Q}_{i-1}$ satisfies (P3), so splitting has the required properties. It terminates with $\mathcal{Q}_0$ such that $\mathcal{F}[V_t] = \mathcal{H}_0[V_t] \subseteq Q_{0,1} \times \binom{Q_{0,2}}{3}$. Let $A = Q_{0,1} \cup (V(\mathcal{F}) \setminus V_t)$ and $B = Q_{0,2}$. Then by Lemma 4.12, we have $|\{e \in \mathcal{F} : |e \cap A| \ge 2\}| + |\{e \in \mathcal{F} : e \subseteq B\}| < \varepsilon n^4$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let $\mathcal{F} = (V, E)$ be a maximum $K^4_{4,4}$-free 4-graph on n vertices, where n is sufficiently large. Since $S^4(n)$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free, $|\mathcal{F}| \ge |S^4(n)|$. Similar to [6] (in the first paragraph of Section 4.2), it suffices to prove Theorem 1.5 under the assumption that the minimum degree of $\mathcal{F}$ is at least $\delta(S^4(n))$. Indeed, assume we have proved Theorem 1.5 for every maximum $K^4_{4,4}$-free 4-graph $\mathcal{F}$ on $n \ge n_0$ vertices and minimum degree at least $\delta(S^4(n))$. Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be a maximum $K^4_{4,4}$-free 4-graph on $n \ge n_0^4$ vertices, delete the vertex of $\mathcal{H}_n$ with degree less than $\delta(S^4(n))$ until $\delta(\mathcal{H}_m) \ge \delta(S^4(m))$ or all vertices have been deleted. By some

easy calculations we can show that $m \geq n_0$. But $\mathcal{H}_m$ has minimum degree at least $\delta(S^4(m))$ and strictly more than $e(S^4(m))$ edges, which contradicts our assumption.

Let c_1, c_2, c_3 and $\varepsilon > 0$ be real numbers satisfying

$$\varepsilon \ll c_3 \ll c_2 \ll c_1 \ll 1.$$

Let $V = W_1 \cup W_2$ be a partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{F}$ which minimizes

$$\Sigma' := |\{e \in E : |e \cap W_1| = 2 \text{ or } e \subseteq W_2\}| + 2|\{e \in E : |e \cap W_1| = 3\}| + 3|\{e \in E : e \subseteq W_1\}|.$$

By Theorem 4.4 we can assume that $\Sigma' < \varepsilon n^4$. Similar to before, we have

$$|W_1| = (\frac{1}{4} \pm c_3)n \text{ and } |W_2| = (\frac{3}{4} \pm c_3)n.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4, we call an edge $e \in E$ *bad* if $|e \cap W_1| = 2$ or $e \subseteq W_2$, *very bad* if $|e \cap W_1| \geq 3$, *good* otherwise. Equivalently, we need to show that $\Sigma' = 0$. We say that a vertex $v \in V$ is *bad* if it is contained in at least $30c_1n^3$ edges which are not good. Before proving that all edges are good, we will prove that any vertex of V cannot be contained in too many edges which are not good.

Lemma 4.18 *There are no bad vertices.*

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that $x \in V$ is a bad vertex. First suppose that $x \in W_1$. Then all edges containing x which are not good intersect W_1 with at least two vertices. Denote $F_x = \{e \in E : x \in e, |e \cap W_1| \geq 2\}$ and $I_x = \{f \in \binom{V}{3} : f \cup \{x\} \in F_x\}$. Then $|F_x| = |I_x| \geq 30c_1n^3$.
Claim 1. There is a set $K \subseteq I_x$ with $|K| = 10c_1n$ such that $\forall f_1, f_2 \in K$, $f_1 \cap f_2 = \emptyset$. This is because that $\forall f \in I_x$, f intersects less than $3\binom{n}{2}$ elements of I_x. Denote

$$B(x) := \{v \in W_2 \backslash (\cup_{f \in I_x} f) : \{v, x\} \text{ is contained in at least } 40n \text{ edges of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Claim 2. $|B(x)| \geq n/20$. By the minimality of Σ', the number of good edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing x is no less than the number of edges in $\mathcal{F}$ which are not good containing x. Then $|W_2 \setminus B(x)|40n + |B(x)|\binom{|W_2|}{2} > d(x)/2 \geq (9/256 - c_1/2)n^3$, so $|B(x)| \geq n/20$.
Claim 3. There are at least $|K|/2 = 5c_1n$ elements $f = \{a, b, c\} \in K$ with $a \in W_1$ such that there is $B_{f_a} \subseteq B(x)$ of size c_1n satisfying that $\{v, a\}$ is contained in at least $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ for every $v \in B_{f_a}$. Otherwise there are at least $|K|/2$ elements $f = \{a, b, c\} \in K$ with $a \in W_1$ such that for all but at most c_1n vertices v in $B(x)$, $\{v, a\}$ is contained in at most $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$. Then there are at least $\frac{|K|}{2}\left(\binom{|B(x)\backslash B_{f_a}|}{3} - 40n^2\right) > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, contradicting that $|E| \geq |S^4(n)|$. Denote the set of elements $f \in K$ satisfying the property above as K'.
Claim 4. There is $f \in K'$ with $a \in W_1 \cap f$ such that there is a set $M_{f_a} \subseteq \binom{B_{f_a}}{3}$ of size c_2n satisfying $e_1 \cup \{a\} \in \mathcal{F}$, $e_1 \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $e_1 \cap e_2 = \emptyset$ for all different $e_1, e_2 \in M_{f_a}$. Otherwise for each $f \in K'$ with $a \in W_1 \cap f$, fix a maximum set $M_{f_a} \subseteq \binom{B_{f_a}}{3}$ satisfying $e_1 \cup \{a\}$, $e_1 \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $e_1 \cap e_2 = \emptyset$ for all different $e_1, e_2 \in M_{f_a}$. Then $|M_{f_a}| < c_2n$ and for every triple $g \in \binom{B_{f_a} \backslash (\cup_{e' \in M_{f_a}} e')}{3}$, $g \cup \{a\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ or $g \cup \{x\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ (or both). So there are at least $\frac{|K|}{2}\binom{|B_{f_a}|-3c_2n}{3} > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, contradicting that $|E| \geq |S^4(n)|$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free, for every pair $e_1, e_2 \in M_{f_a}$, $\exists u \in e_1$ and $v \in e_2$ such that there are at most $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing $\{u, v\}$. Otherwise we can greedily choose vertices to extend $e_1 \cup \{x\}$ and

$e_2 \cup \{a\}$ to a copy of $K^4_{4,4}$. Hence there are at least $\binom{|M_{f_a}|}{2}\left(|W_1||W_2 \setminus (\cup_{e\in M_{f_a}} e)| - 40n\right) > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, contradicting that $|E| \geq |S^4(n)|$.

Now suppose that $x \in W_2$. We divide it into two cases according to whether there are half edges containing x which are not good contained in W_2. The proof of both cases is similar to the above. We first prove the case that there are at least $15c_1n^3$ edges containing x which are not good contained in W_2. Fix a set of those edges removing x as $L'(x)$. Consider a maximum matching $M(x)$ in $L'(x)$. Then $|M(x)| \geq c_1 n$. Fix $M' \subseteq M(x)$ with $|M'| = c_2 n$. Note that $\bigcup_{f\in M'} f \subseteq W_2$. For $j = 1, 2$, let

$$B'_j(x) := \{x' \in W_j : \text{ there are at least } 40n \text{ edges of } \mathcal{F} \text{ containing } \{x, x'\}\}.$$

Claim 5. $|B'_1(x)| \geq 3c_2n$ and $|B'_2(x)| \geq 5c_2n$. Since there are at least $15c_1n^3$ edges containing x which are not good such that each is contained in W_2, it is clearly that $|B'_2(x)| \geq 5c_2n$. If $|B'_1(x)| < 3c_2n$, we get a new partition by moving x from W_2 to W_1 with smaller $\sum$, which contradicts the minimality of Σ'. Fix $B''_1(x) \subseteq B'_1(x)$ and $B''_2(x) \subseteq B'_2(x) \setminus (\bigcup_{f\in M'} f)$ with $|B''_1(x)| = 2c_2n$ and $|B''_2(x)| = 4c_2n$. Consider a maximum matching of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted as M'', with one vertex in $B''_1(x)$ and another three vertices in $B''_2(x)$.

Claim 6. $|M''| \geq c_2 n$. Otherwise there are at least $c_2 n\binom{c_2 n}{3} > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free, for every $f \in M'$ and $e \in M''$ there are $a \in f$ and $b \in e$ such that there are at most $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing $\{a, b\}$; otherwise we can greedily choose vertices to extend $f \cup \{x\}$ and e to a copy of $K^4_{4,4}$. Hence we can find more than $|M'| \cdot |M''| \cdot \frac{n}{5} \cdot \frac{3n}{5} - 40n^3 > \varepsilon n^4$ elements in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$ and this contradicts that $|E| \geq |S^4(n)|$.

The last case is that there are at least $15c_1n^3$ edges containing x which are not good such that each intersects W_1 with at least two vertices. Denote $F'_x = \{e \in \mathcal{F} : x \in e, |e \cap W_1| \geq 2\}$ and $I'_x = \{f : f \cup \{x\} \in F_x\}$. Since $\forall f \in I'_x$, f intersects less than $3\binom{n}{2}$ elements of I'_x, there is a set $P \subseteq I'_x$ with size $5c_1n$ such that $\forall f_1, f_2 \in P$, $f_1 \cap f_2 = \emptyset$.

Claim 7. There are at least $c_1 n$ elements $f = \{y, z, w\} \in P$, denoted as P', where $y, z \in W_1$ such that there is a set $V'_{f_{yz}} \subseteq W_2$ with size at least $n/10$ such that $\{y, v\}$ and $\{z, v\}$ are both contained in at least $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ for every $v \in V'_{f_{yz}}$; otherwise there are at least $c_1 n \cdot \left(\binom{|W_2|-n/10}{3} - 40n^2\right) > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction.

For every $f = \{y, z, w\} \in P'$, where $y, z \in W_1$ consider the set $J'_{yz} \subseteq \binom{V'_{f_{yz}}\setminus f}{3} \cap L(y) \cap L(z)$ satisfing all elements of J'_{yz} are pairwise disjoint.

Claim 8. There is at least an element $f' = \{y', z', w'\}$, where $y', z' \in W_1$ such that $|J'_{y'z'}| \geq c_1 n$; otherwise there are at least $|P'| \cdot \binom{|V'_{f'_{y'z'}}|-3c_1n}{3} > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free, for every pair $f_1, f_2 \in J'_{y'z'}$, there are $a \in f_1$ and $b \in f_2$ such that there are at most $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing $\{a, b\}$; otherwise we can greedily choose vertices to extend $f_1 \cup \{y'\}$ and $f_2 \cup \{z'\}$ to a copy of $K^4_{4,4}$. Hence we can find at least $\binom{|J'_{y'z'}|}{2}\left(|W_1| \cdot |W_2 \setminus (\cup_{f\in J'_{y'z'}} f)| - 40n\right) > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. ■

Finally, we show that every edge of $\mathcal{F}$ is good. Suppose that $e = xyzw \in \mathcal{F}$ is an edge which is not good. First we assume that $|e \cap W_1| \geq 2$. Without loss of generality assume that $x, y \in W_1$. Denote

$$B(x) := \{v \in W_2 \setminus e : \{v, x\} \text{ is contained in at least } 40n \text{ edges of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

We claim that $|B(x)| \geq 7n/10$. Otherwise $d_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \leq \binom{7n/10}{3} + 40n^2 + 30c_1n^3 < \delta(S^4(n))$, a contradiction. Consider the family of triples $L_x \subseteq \binom{B(x)}{3}$ satisfying $f \cap g = \emptyset$ for every pair $f, g \in L_x$. Similar

as before, we claim that $|L_x| \geq n/5$. Otherwise suppose that $|L_x| < n/5$, then $d_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \leq \binom{|W_2|}{3} - \left(\binom{|W_2|-3n/5}{3} - 40n^2\right) + 30c_1n^3 < \delta(S^4(n))$, a contradiction. Fix $L'_x \subseteq L_x$ with $|L'_x| = n/10$. Let

$$B(y) := \{v \in B(x) \setminus (\cup_{e\in L'_x} e) : \{v, y\} \text{ is contained in at least } 40n \text{ edges of } \mathcal{F}\}$$

and $L_y \subseteq \binom{B(y)}{3}$ satisfying $f \cap g = \emptyset$ for every pair $f, g \in L_y$. Similarly, we have $|L_y| \geq n/10$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free, then for every $f_1 \in L'_x$ and $f_2 \in L_y$, there are $a \in f_1$ and $b \in f_2$ such that there are at most $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$ containing $\{a, b\}$; otherwise we can greedily choose vertices to extend $f_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $f_2 \cup \{y\}$ to form a copy of $K^4_{4,4}$. Hence we can find at least $|L'_x| \cdot |L_y| \cdot \frac{n}{5} \cdot \frac{3n}{5} - 40n^3 > \varepsilon n^4$ edges in $\left(W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}\right) \setminus \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $e = xyzw \subseteq W_2$. For $j = 1, 2$, let

$$B_j(e) := \{v \in W_j : \text{ there are at least } 40n \text{ edges of } \mathcal{F} \text{ containing } \{u, v\} \text{ for every } u \in e\}.$$

We claim that $|B_1(e)| \geq n/5$ and $|B_2(e)| \geq 3n/5$. Otherwise, first suppose that $|B_1(e)| < n/5$. Since for every $v \in W_1 \setminus B_1(e)$, there is at least one $u \in e$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is contained in less than $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$. So there exists at least one $u \in e$ and at least $\frac{1}{4}|W_1 \setminus B_1(e)|$ vertices $v \in W_1 \setminus B_1(e)$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is contained in less than $40n$ edges of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $d_{\mathcal{F}}(u) \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 40n|W_1 \setminus B_1(e)| + \left(|B_1(e)| + \frac{3}{4}|W_1 \setminus B_1(e)|\right)\binom{|W_2|}{2} + 30c_1n^3 \leq 10n^2 + \left(\frac{3}{4}(\frac{1}{4} + c_3)n + \frac{n}{20}\right)\binom{(3/4+c_3)n}{2} + 30c_1n^3 < \delta(S^4(n))$, a contradiction. Now suppose that $|B_2(e)| < 3n/5$. Similarly, there exists $u \in e$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}(u) \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 40n|W_2 \setminus B_2(e)| + |W_1|\binom{|B_2(u)|+\frac{3}{4}|W_2\setminus B_2(e)|}{2} + 30c_1n^3 < \delta(S^4(n))$, a contradiction.

Let M be a maximum matching of edges with one vertex in $B_1(e)$ and another three vertices in $B_2(e)$. We claim that $|M| \geq n/6$. Otherwise there is a vertex $u \in B_1(e)$ such that there are at least $\binom{\frac{3n}{5}-3\frac{n}{6}}{3}$ triples in $B_2(e)$ not belonging to $L_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$. Then $d_{\mathcal{F}}(u) \leq \binom{(\frac{3}{4}+c_3)n}{3} - \binom{n/10}{3} + 30c_1n^3 < \delta(S^4(n))$, a contradiction.

In fact, as long as there is one edge (good edge) e' in M we would get a contradiction, since we can find a copy of $K^4_{4,4}$ as follows: for each vertex $u \in e'$ and each vertex $v \in xyzw$, we can find an edge e_{uv} of $\mathcal{F}$ greedily such that the other two vertices of all these e_{uv} are all different. This contradicts that $\mathcal{F}$ is $K^4_{4,4}$-free. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $W_1 \times \binom{W_2}{3}$. By the maximality of the number of edges of $\mathcal{F}$, $|W_1| = \lfloor \frac{1}{4}n \rfloor$, or $|W_1| = \lceil \frac{1}{4}n \rceil$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. ■

Remarks. We learned that Norin, Watts, and Yepremyan[15] had a proof of Conjecture 1.4 for all r. Our work here is independent of theirs. This manuscript uses some ideas from [7] such as reducing to left compressed and dense hypergraphs and the method may apply to r-graphs without a matching of any fixed size as in [7] for $r = 3$. The method can also be extended to prove Conjecture 1.4 for $r = 5$.

References

[1] B. Bollobás, Three-graphs without two triples whose symmetric difference is contained in a third, *Disc. Math.* **8** (1974), 21-24.

[2] A. Brandt, D. Irwin, and T. Jiang, Stability and Turán numbers of a class of hypergraphs via Lagrangians, arXiv:1510.03461.

[3] P. Frankl, Extremal set systems, in *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 1995, pp 1293-1329.

[4] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, Extremal problems whose solutions are the blow-ups of the small Witt-designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A.* **52**(1989), 129–147.

[5] P. Frankl, V. Rödl, Hypergraphs do not jump, *Combinatorica*, **4**(1984), 149–159.

[6] D. Hefetz and P. Keevash, A hypergraph Turán theorem via Lagrangians of intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), 2020–2038.

[7] T. Jiang, Y. Peng and B. Wu, Turán numbers of extensions of some sparse hypergraphs via Lagrangians, arXiv:1609.08983.

[8] G. Katona, T. Nemetz and M. Simonovits, On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs, *Mat. Lapok* **15** (1964), 228–238.

[9] P. Keevash, Hypergrah Turán problems, *Surveys in Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, (2011), 83–140.

[10] T.S. Motzkin and E.G. Straus, Maxima for graphs and a new proof of a theorem of Turán, *Canad. J. Math* **17** (1965), 533–540.

[11] D. Mubayi, A hypergraph extension of Turán's theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **96** (2006), 122–134.

[12] D. Mubayi and O.Pikhurko, A new generalization of Mantel's theorem to k-graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007), 669–678.

[13] S. Norin, L. Yepremyan, Turán number of generalized triangles, arXiv:1501.01913v1.

[14] S. Norin, L. Yepremyan, Turán numbers of extensions, arXiv:1510.04689.

[15] S. Norin, A. Watts, L. Yepremyan, personal communications.

[16] Y. Peng and C. Zhao, A Motzkin-Straus type result for 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 29 (2013), 681-694

[17] O.Pikhurko, An exact Turán result for the generalized triangle, *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 187–208.

[18] O. Pikhurko, Exact computation of the hypergraph Turán function for expanded complete 2-graphs, *J. Combin. Th. Ser. B* **103** (2013), 220-225.

[19] A.F. Sidorenko, On the maximal number of edges in a homogeneous hypergraph that does not contain prohibited subgraphs, *Mat. Zametki* **41** (1987), 433-455.

[20] A.F. Sidorenko, Asymptotic solution for a new class of forbiddenr-graphs, *Combinatorica* **9** (1989), 207–215.

[21] J. Talbot, Lagrangians of hypergraphs, *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing* **11** (2002), 199–216.

[22] A. A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes, *Mat. Sbornik, (N. S.)* **24**)(66) (1949), 163–188.